

Raw Milk Use and Safety Fact Sheet

(Please duplicate
without permission)

Released:
May 15, 2007

TEAM AUTHORS:

Center for Rural Affairs, Martin Kleinschmit, Hartington, Nebraska
Northeast Nebraska RC&D, Plainview, Nebraska
Holistic Management® Certified Educator, Terry Gompert, Center, Nebraska

PURPOSE:

This fact sheet is prepared to educate both the consumer and producer on facts about raw milk. Raw milk sales are legal in over half the states (rules vary state by state). Most of the raw milk purchased in the U.S. is done so legally at the dairy farm. Check with the Department of Agriculture in the state you live for specific rules. As with other foods, milk may cause allergies in some people. Consulting a nutritionist, medical personnel, and other food experts would be well advised here. Producers and marketers of raw milk need to know the liabilities.

The demand for unpasteurized milk is increasing in this country. Although many consumers perceive a nutritional and health benefit from its use, the facts presented in this paper are intended to address the safety issues for purchasing, transporting, and storing unprocessed milk.

DEFINITIONS:

Milk (milk) *n.* a white or yellowish liquid consisting of small fat globules suspended in a watery solution, secreted by the mammary glands for the nutrition of the newborn. It contains all the nutrient substances (proteins and enzymes, fats, sugars, minerals and vitamins) necessary for growth, but is deficient in iron; such a secretion drawn from a cow, goat, etc. for use as human food. Webster's Dictionary

Whole Raw Milk. Also called "real milk" or "living milk." Whole milk has a rich taste that comes from the fat content of the milk. Whole milk will contain 3 to 5% fat depending on the individual cow, the cow breed, and the type of feed or pasture the cow is eating.

Conventional and/or Confined Dairy. Animals are confined and fed a highly processed ration of grain and forage. Seldom are the animals allowed to graze. The facilities are designed for efficiencies and the owner.

Grass Based Dairy. Animals primarily graze their pastures for their nutrition being supplemented primarily with forages. The facilities are designed to optimize the consumption of green growing pasture and forage.

OPEN COMMUNICATIONS ESSENTIAL FOR PURCHASING OR SELLING RAW MILK

Trust is the key to a safe and successful raw milk market. Relationships build trust. Farmers need to be transparent and open with their customers. Good customer relations will reduce the liability.

Both customers and farmers need to be comfortable bringing up a problem. Farmers are more likely to be concerned about milk quantity or availability.

The consumer is more likely to be concerned about taste or flavor.

Customers need to be aware that taste is often a result of feed changes as well as speed of cooling and does not necessarily indicate contamination. However, the communication lines must be open enough so the customer feels free to contact the farmer immediately if there is a reason to suspect that an illness was caused by consuming milk. These who choose to use raw milk should know the farmer and be comfortable with higher husbandry practices.

This Fact Sheet is divided into various modules. These modules include: Science, Farmer, Consumer, and Resources.

Raw Milk Use and Safety Fact Sheet **Science Module**

A French scientist, Louis Pasteur, invented pasteurization to preserve wine nearly a century and a half ago. Pasteurization involves heating a product to 145 - 150 degrees Fahrenheit (F) for 30 minutes or to 164 - 168 degrees F for 15 seconds.

Pasteurization is said to kill all life in the milk, including disease-producing bacteria that may be present. The process was first used to treat milk in the US in the early 1920s. During that period, food-borne illnesses associated with milk consumption were common due to poor sanitation in the dairies, diseased farm employees, inadequate refrigeration, and poor animal health conditions because brewery byproducts were used as a major part of the milk cow's diet and because the cows were housed in filthy conditions in inner city confinement dairies.

Pasteurization is said to be responsible for reducing milk-borne outbreaks in that period. Milk as a source of food borne illness has declined from nearly 25% to only 1% of outbreaks in today's environment. Outlawing of inner city dairies and the advent of refrigeration also contributed to reducing milk-borne outbreaks.

Most conventionally produced milk from confined cows should still be properly pasteurized to reduce potential disease outbreaks. For example, in recent University of California Davis tests, 31% of raw milk samples taken from farm tanks of conventional milk intended for pasteurization, contained detectable human pathogens. Since most milk processors buy milk from many different dairies and co-mingle it with other milk to obtain appropriate volume, their entire output is likely to be contaminated.

Pasteurization can be carried out by the processor or the consumer. In either case, pasteurization must be totally completed. Incomplete or improper pasteurization can actually increase the risk to human health by failing to kill the "bad bacteria."

The four main disease-causing pathogens of concern today are listeria, salmonella, staphaureus, and E. coli 0157:H7. FDA also reports a list of other types of bacteria that might be found in raw milk, including campylobacter, escherichia, yersina and brucella. FDA also lists diseases raw milk products can cause, such as tuberculosis, diphtheria, polio, strep throat, scarlet fever and typhoid fever.

The pasteurization standard today calls for heating milk to 161 degrees F for 15 seconds, in order to destroy the harmful bacteria. However, most processors heat to 175 degrees F and are moving toward ultra pasteurization, with temperatures of 282 degrees F, which allows a shelf life of 60 to 120 days. To keep posted on the most current, legal pasteurization standards check with your state's Department of Agriculture office.

Pasteurization not only kills bad bacteria and pathogens, but also kills beneficial bacteria and destroys enzymes. Phosphatase is destroyed by pasteurization. Phosphatase is essential for the absorption of calcium. Another enzyme, lipase, aids in the digestion of fats. Pathogen inhibitors destroyed by pasteurization include lactobacillus bacteria, bacteriocins (nisin and others which kill listeria), lactoperoxidase, lactoferrin, xanthine oxidase, lysozyme, and other pathogen inhibiting, natural elements.

Protein – lysine and tyrosine are altered by heat as are the fat – soluble vitamins. The loss of vitamin A, D, E and F can run as high as 66%. Vitamin C loss usually exceeds 50%. Losses on water soluble vitamins are affected by heat too and can run from 38% to 80%.

Take Home Points:

- (1) If choosing to home pasteurize, make sure the process is understood and completed.**
- (2) Pasteurization kills both the good and bad bacteria in the raw milk and destroys beneficial enzymes and protective components**
- (3) Most milk from confinement cows should be pasteurized if used for human consumption**

HOMOGENIZATION

Homogenization has been around for decades. Homogenization is a process that breaks up fat globules in cream into very small particles which then do not separate from the rest of the milk. Homogenized milk is more eye appealing and somewhat easier to use, thus easier to market in the grocery stores. There is no known health or nutritional advantage to homogenization. Some research suggests that homogenization creates free radical compounds that can cause allergic reactions and even heart disease. Others suggest that it is really the heating of the milk protein in the water fraction of the milk that provides allergic reactions, not homogenization.

In homogenized milk, the cream separates and rises to the top (goat milk is an exception to this rule). Once non-homogenized, whole raw milk has set for some time, the cream can be skimmed or poured off for use as a separate product. Some prefer to leave the cream in the milk and use it as whole milk. In that case, shake the container until the cream is fully mixed in the milk before use.

Take home points:

- (1) Homogenization gives no known health or nutritional value to milk, but does keep the cream from separating**
- (2) Whole raw cow's milk needs to be shaken each time before use to mix the cream back into the milk. Thus, keeping it in suspension**

THE COW'S DIET

What the cow eats affects the milk quality. Milk quality includes many things - fats, minerals, vitamins, enzymes, flavor and more. If the cow's diet is primarily forage from green, growing pastures, her milk will contain more conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), increased vitamins and minerals, and slightly higher levels of omega 3 fatty acids. This milk is generally more yellow in color, primarily because of the increased carotene. Grazing improves the quality of the milk and also the health of the animal. Lactating cows that graze on lush pastures with no or little supplemental grains tend to live longer and have increased fertility. Milk from cows grazing during May and June tend to be the highest quality.

If grain is fed to the lactating cow, the milk will be slightly lower in omega-3 fatty acid, slightly higher in omega-6 fatty acid, and lower in CLA content.

When dairy cows are fed grains and kept in confinement, the vitamins A & D disappear, the CLAs disappear, the omega 3 fatty acids lower and the omega 6 fatty acids increase. For heart health, the omega 3 and omega 6 ratios should be as narrow as possible. For some cancer prevention the CLA needs to be higher.

Milk produced from high quality land will have higher mineral content. Certified organic lands tend to be higher in mineral content. However, organic feed sources, in and of themselves, do not change the fat, mineral or vitamin content of the milk simply because they are organic. By feeding organic feed sources, the consumer can be assured that minimal pesticides, growth hormones or genetically modified products were used. Although research is inconclusive on whether these factors are passed on to the milk itself, using organic feeds lowers the risk of pesticides and antibiotic potential being passed into the milk.

The Union of Concerned Scientists, in their publication, Greener Pastures: How Grass-fed Beef and Milk Contribute to Healthy Eating, makes the following statement: “We believe these results support the appropriateness of choosing fully pasture-raised milk and beef over conventionally produced milk and beef. When the environmental benefits of pasture feeding are considered along with the health benefits - particularly those associated with reduced antibiotic use - the case for choosing pasture-raised milk and beef is even stronger.”

Take home points:

(1) What the cow eats affects the milk quality

(2) Cows that consume feed, forage, and pasture from highly mineralized soil produce the best possible quality milk

(3) Milk produced from organic feed sources gives producers assurance that minimal pesticides, growth hormones or genetically modified products were used to produce the milk

ORIGIN OF MILK PATHOGENS

The origin of milk pathogens (actually human pathogens found in milk) is primarily a result of poor sanitation, animal stress, and animal sickness. Cows need to be clean and healthy. Allowing them to sleep or stand in livestock waste exposes them to more infections and environmental stress. Animals that are confined and/or treated with large amounts of antibiotics, growth hormones and fed a high-grain diet tend to have a weak immune system and consequently are more prone to disease. Animals allowed to graze fresh grass in the comfort of fresh air with ample room to move and exercise have less stress and have a stronger immune system able to ward off disease. When animals are raised entirely outdoors on green grass and/or hay, their milk will be greatly reduced in pathogens.

On-farm testing and independent laboratory testing does not guarantee food safety, but it is an excellent way to monitor milk quality. Buying raw milk from a Grade A herd assures that the milk is being tested for pathogens on a regular basis. If a farmer is selling directly to the public, he or she should be willing to post all lab tests, with explanation of what they mean for his or her customers’ review. When purchasing milk directly from the farmer, make sure the quality tests exceed the minimal requirements for Grade A. The somatic cell count of up to 300,000 cells/ml is the minimum standard for a Grade A facility. Raw milk standards should be even better.

Note: Somatic cell count (SCC) is one indicator of the quality of milk. Somatic cells are body cells, including leukocytes (white blood cells). The number of somatic cells increases in response to pus-producing bacteria like Staphylococcus aureus, a cause of mastitis.

Take home points:

(1) Pathogens in milk are in-part the result of poor sanitation, animal stress, and animal sickness

(2) On-farm milk testing can be done in a timely and economical manner. Consider on farm testing, independent laboratory testing and Grade A testing

(3) Raw milk standards should be superior to traditional milk supply

(4) The consumer should be comfortable with the farmer and husbandry practices

COOLING MILK

Milk is approximately 99 - 102 degrees Fahrenheit (F) when it is removed from the cow. Because bacteria numbers double every 20 minutes at this temperature, milk needs to be cooled to 40 degrees F as soon as possible, preferably within an hour of milking. If milk is not cooled properly, it will sour and separate.

Cooling the milk quickly insures a longer self life. Milk tastes better (will have less off flavors) if it is cooled quickly and maintained at a cool temperature. Rapid cooling inhibits the lactic-acid bacteria which causes milk to sour and will also inhibit the growth of bacteria. The bulk tank at the farm is the beginning of the “cold chain”. For optimal preservation of the milk quality, the milk should be cooled as quickly as possible and kept cool during transportation, storage and use.

For large quantities of milk, bulk milk tanks are designed to cool the milk properly within one hour. For small quantities of milk, an ice water bath with a stirring mechanism works well. Milk can be put in a freezer to lower the temperature more quickly, but milk must be stirred during the chilling process. Storage of milk, once chilled, should be below 40 degrees F.

If it is legal to deliver raw milk to the customer, it is very important that the milk be kept below 40 degrees F at all times in the system. Containers that maintain proper temperature are needed all the way to the delivery point. Remember, never break the cold chain.

Note: Sally Fallon, president of the Weston A. Price Foundation, said, “The anti-microbial components of raw milk are more effective at room temperature than chilled. The reason to chill milk is to keep the fresh taste, it is not for safety reasons.” She also said, “Cooling inhibits both good and bad bacteria.”

Take home points:

- (1) Chilling milk quickly to 40 degrees F lengthens shelf life and maintains milk quality**
- (2) It is important that the cold chain is not broken**

Raw Milk Use and Safety Fact Sheet
Farmer Module

Principles for the Farmer:

- * Use common sense*
- * Keep clean / exceed legal standards*
- * Test for quality and safety / document*
- * Treat your customer as yourself*
- * Cool milk quickly and keep cool*
- * Practice safe product transportation, storage, processing and use*
- * Treat cows humanely, with consideration for their overall well being*
- * Feed cows mostly grass and hay with only minimal amounts of grain to improve CLA's, vitamins, minerals, and omega 3 fatty acids.*
- * Continue to educate yourself*
- * Follow the rules / help change the rules if changes are needed*

HIGH STANDARDS/RELATIONSHIP/COMMON SENSE

If raw milk is to be sold for human consumption, the farmer needs to be above reproach and use common sense. He or she needs to have high standards, testing should be done regularly, and consumer relations must be good.

Soil health and life should continually be enhanced and improved because cows that consume feed and pasture from live, highly mineralized soils produce the best possible quality milk. The green grass in the cow's diet maintains healthy digestive micro-flora and greatly reduces the likelihood of E. coli 0157:H7, the highly pathogenic type of E. coli. Not all E. coli bacteria will make you sick. Thus, green grass should provide as much of the diet as possible. Add hay and only small amounts of grain or other energy sources when there is no green pasture to graze. The primary portion of this feed should be mold free, high quality forage. Appropriate minerals may be given to balance the diet.

Take home points:

- (1) Cows that consume grass and hay from live, highly mineralized soil produce the best possible quality milk**
- (2) Relationships and communication are important**
- (3) Be above reproach and use common sense**

MILKING PROCEDURES

Ultimate cleanliness should be the standard for those producing, using and marketing raw milk. Cows should not be allowed to stand or sleep in manure. The milking barn should be spotless prior to milking. The barn should be built and maintained with floors and fixtures for easy cleaning.

Always wash your hands before starting to milk. Dirty hands are a great source of contamination. A hand-washing sink with hot running water, soap and disposable towels is required. Any adjacent toilet area must be kept closed off to reduce the possibility of contamination and absorption of odors. Any person suffering from any sickness should not be allowed to milk cows.

Thoroughly clean the cow, removing dirt and/or manure. Wipe teats with iodine solution (or equivalent) before milking with a one-time-use tissue per cow. Then dry. Remember that you haven't cleaned it until you've dried it.

Observing any signs of mastitis is a routine part of preparing to milk. Milk from cows with mastitis should not be used for human consumption.

For the farmers selling raw milk to the public, the level of cleanliness must be beyond reproach. Regular cleaning routines at the farm and milk parlor must be followed. General observations are important. Is there a place for everything, a sense of order? Is all equipment stored on shelves (i.e., no floor storage)? Is there running water?

Take Home Points:

(1) Ultimate cleanliness should be standard

(2) General observations are important

MILKING EQUIPMENT

Using an automatic milking unit is preferred over hand milking because it is easier to insure safety. If hand milking, practice utmost sanitation. Your hands, cows, and equipment need to be clean and dry.

The milking stall must be separate from the rest of the barn. Cows are only there when being milked. Keep stalls clear of other animals, chickens, cats etc. Water is an excellent bacteria carrier. Stalls should be cleaned after milking so they will be dry for the next milking time. Floors should be concrete or painted metal so you can see the manure, (i.e., no dirt floor, so it can be kept clean).

Consider the milking equipment. The first choice is an enclosed system with a filter, (i.e., single bucket milker for one to five cows, larger operations use larger equipment.) Milking utensils should be stainless steel or glass, if possible. Meeting Grade A certification standards is a good way to organize, even if not applying for certification. There must be thorough cleaning and drying of all equipment immediately after every use.

For large quantities of milk, bulk milk tanks are designed to cool the milk properly within one hour. For small quantities of milk, an ice water bath with a stirring mechanism works well. Milk can be put in a freezer to lower temperature more quickly, but milk must be stirred during the chilling process. Storage of milk, once chilled, should be below 40 degrees F.

If it is legal to deliver raw milk to the customer, it is very important to keep the milk below 40 degrees F at all points in the system. Appropriate containers that maintain proper temperature are needed to the delivery point. Remember, never break the cold chain.

Take home points:

- (1) An automatic milking unit is preferred over hand milking**
- (2) Storage of milk should be below 40 degrees F**
- (3) Transport milk at below 40 degrees F**

TESTING

It would be appropriate to conduct on-farm testing of the milk on a daily basis. This data should be recorded and always available for the consumer to examine. Every other month or so, milk samples should be sent to an independent lab to compare readings. If the herd is Grade A, these tests are already being carried out.

Human diseases that are associated with raw milk should be tested for. Testing the herd for tuberculosis, brucellosis, bovine leukemia virus, and Johnes before bringing new animals into the herd is advised. A closed herd is always easier to manage and less likely to harbor diseases. The farm should have a system of regular testing for mastitis (on site and by a laboratory) and maintain records of colony counts (any bacteria) and somatic counts (white cells). Also test for generic E. coli count to look for contamination from manure. To find detailed information about testing, what results mean, and what to do about the test results, contact a local veterinarian, an Extension veterinarian, or a trustworthy fellow dairyman. Records of testing should be available to the consumer upon request.

Each producer needs to develop their own protocol for the records. With the on-farm and independent laboratory results, cause needs to be established as well as needed action. It is also recommended for direct marketers to take a daily milk sample and store it in a small, well labeled, sealed container in a refrigerator. These samples should be kept for 30 days. They will be of great value in determining cause of a potential health problem.

It is suggested that a general observation of the cow's treatment, facilities, and other condition be recorded. It is also appropriate to record any probable cause and action needed, as well as, action completed. A plan for caring for sick cows is needed. (What medicine is to be used, e.g., homeopathic/herbal or conventional?) Milk from sick cows is to be kept separate. It is not for human consumption, but it can be fed to animals. Having a closed herd is the best assurance of safety. Treat the cows humanely, with considerations for their overall well being.

Take home points:

- (1) A sick cow plan needs to be developed**
- (2) A closed herd is easier to manage**
- (3) Data from appropriate milk tests should be made available to customers**

Raw Milk Use and Safety Fact Sheet Customer Module

Principles for the Consumer:

- * Use common sense*
- * Make sure to know the farmer and are comfortable with his practices*
- * Know your family's health concerns / consult professionals*
- * Practice safe product transportation, storage, processing and use*
- * Continue to educate yourself*
- * Follow the rules / help change the rules if changes are needed*

PURCHASING

Before you purchase raw milk, educate yourself, know the facts. Before selecting the farm you desire to buy raw milk from, make a visit to the farm. Ask the right questions: How are the animals cared for? What are they fed? How are sick animals treated? What antibiotics are used and why? Are the animals wormed? If so, with

what? Also notice the sanitation conditions around the farm. Do you like what you see? Is that where you want to buy your “living food”? Are the animals fed grass and/or hay? Are they grazing outside? Is the herd free from disease? What sanitary milking procedures are used? Is there regular milk testing done? Are sick cows milked and where does that milk go? How are surfaces that come in contact with milk cleaned and what are they cleaned with?

Once you choose a farm, continue building on the relationship and learn from each other. Know your state’s rules for buying milk directly from a farm. Over half of the states allow raw milk to be picked up at the farm. Again, check with the Department of Agriculture in your state to obtain the appropriate rules. Please don’t break the rules.

Consumers should provide their own containers and lids/caps, which are labeled uniquely with a permanent pen or colored tape. Many consumers mark the date on the container with a nonpermanent pen each week. Glass containers are best because it’s easier to see when they are sparkling clean. However, milk dries almost clear, so it is not always apparent where it has not been removed. An incompletely cleaned container that has been capped for a while will give a definite odor when opened later.

Container size is important. Bottles or jars larger than 2 quarts are not recommended because it’s harder to keep the milk evenly cooled. Two-quart, wide-mouth canning jars are optimal for ease of cleaning. Use only tempered glass. A good habit to get into is to rinse emptied containers immediately. Use lukewarm water so as not to “set” the milk protein. Then wash in hot, soapy water. Rinse 3 times with water first to cut suds and then with warm-hot water to speed drying. Drain on a clean dish towel or rack, let air-dry on the counter and then cap. You can also wash in a dishwasher.

Take home points:

- (1) Educate yourself, know the facts, and make sure the farm’s practices conform to the check list**
- (2) Know your state’s rules on buying raw milk**
- (3) The container needs to be very clean**

TRANSPORTING AND STORAGE

For transporting fresh milk a cooler or ice chest is needed. It is helpful to have the family name on the inside and outside of the cooler. Several re-freezable blue gel packs or ice will be needed to cool empty bottles and to keep milk cold during the trip home. The milk needs to be kept at 40 degrees F or lower at all times.

When handling milk, hand washing is the most effective way to prevent contamination for the consumer also. Washing and drying hands just before filling the milk jars is important. Check the temperature of the home refrigerator to find the coldest area for storing the milk. Use the door shelf only for the bottle in current use. It may be helpful to place a container of ice in plastic quart-sized bags or re-freezable gel packs in front of or next to the containers that will be stored the longest. With care, normally milk can be stored 7 to 14 days. It is important to keep the milk COLD. Ideally milk should be held between 35 and 37 degrees F.

Do not use glass containers for freezing. Use No. 1 plastic (milk jugs) when freezing milk. Be sure to leave about one-inch of “head room” for expansion of the milk when it is frozen. Freeze as quickly as possible. To use frozen milk, thaw slowly at room temperature. Fast thawing will result in curdling and/or separation of the cream from milk. Whisking the milk may be needed to get rid of lumps of cream.

Take home points:

- (1) When transporting raw milk use coolers or ice chests**
- (2) Once the milk is home, store the milk at 40 degrees F or lower**

CONTINUING EDUCATION IS NEEDED

It is good to study the chemistry of milk. Some topics are: 1) pasteurization negates the potential benefits of raw milk; 2) improper pasteurization is dangerous; 3) milk separates so shake before use; 4) if cheese is made from raw milk legally, it needs to age for at least 60 days before sale; 5) consider making other products such as kefir; and 6) for highest quality, make butter in the spring and fall for summer and winter use.

Take home point:

(1) Keep educating yourself

Note: A National Raw Milk Use and Safety Summit was held in 2006 at Norfolk, Nebraska. This fact sheet is a result of the discussion of the issues during the 2006 Summit.

Raw Milk Use and Safety Fact Sheet **Reference Module**

A Campaign for Real Milk. Weston A. Price Foundation. Online at <http://www.westonaprice.org/brochures/RealMilkTrifold.pdf>

Abbott OD, French RB, Townsend RO. Effects of Processing Upon the Nutritive Value of Milk as Evaluated with Rats, University of Florida Agricultural Experiment Station, Bulletin 485, 1951.

Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS). 2003. Press release. USDA to seek additional input on specific livestock and meat marketing claims standards. AMS No. 079-03. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). April 3. Online at <http://www.ams.usda.gov/news/079-03.htm>

Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS). 2002. United States standards for livestock and meat marketing claims. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Federal Register 67(250):79552-79556.

Aldai, N., B.E. Murray, A.I. Najera, D.J. Troy, and K. Osoro. 2005. Derivatization of fatty acids and its application for conjugated linoleic acid. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 85(7):1073-1083.

Allison, D.B., S.K. Egan, L.M. Barraj, C. Caughman, M. Infante, and J.T. Heinbach. 1999. Estimated intakes of trans fatty and other fatty acids in the U.S. population. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 99:166-174.

American Heart Association (AHA). 2001. Summary of the scientific conference on dietary fatty acids and cardiovascular health. AHA Conference Proceedings, Reston, VA, June 5-6, 2000. *Circulation* 2001; 103:1034.

Angel, A. 2003. Proceedings of a workshop on the role of conjugated linoleic acid in human health. Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, March 13-15, 2003. Online at <http://www.drct.ca/proceed.pdf>

Annand JC, The Case Against Heated Milk Protein, *Atherosclerosis* 1971, 13:137.

Aro, A., S. Mannisto, I. Salminen, M.-J. Ovaskainen, V. Kataja, and M. Uusitupa. 2000. Inverse association between dietary and serum conjugated linoleic acid and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *Nutrition and Cancer* 38(2):151-157.

Ascherio, A., M.J. Stampfer, and W.C. Willett. 1999. Trans fatty acids and coronary heart disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 340(25):1994-1998.

Auldust, M.J., J.K. Kay, N.A. Thomson, A.R. Napper, and E.S. Kolver. 2002. Concentration of conjugated linoleic acid in milk from cows grazing pasture or fed a total mixed ration for an entire lactation. *Proceeding of the New Zealand Society for Animal Production* 62:240-241.

Basiotis, P.P., A. Carlson, S.A. Gerrior, W.Y. Juan, and M. Lino. 2002 *The Healthy Eating Index: 1999-2000*. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture (USDA). Online at <http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/Publications>

Bauman, D.E., B.A. Corl, and D.G. Peterson. 2003. The biology of conjugated linoleic acids in ruminants. In *Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research, Volume 2*, edited by J.-L. Sebedio, W.W. Christie, and R. Adlof. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press.

Bauman, Dale E. and Lock, Adam L. 2004. *Modifying Milk Fat Composition of Dairy Cows to Enhance Fatty Acids Beneficial to Human Health*. Cornell University, Department of Animal Science. *Lipids*, Volume 39, Number 12. AOCS Press.

Beals, Peggy, RN. Guideline for the Safe Handling of Milk. Grass Lakes, MI.

Belury, M.A. 2002. Inhibition of carcinogenesis by conjugated linoleic acid: potential mechanisms of action. *Journal of Nutrition* 132:2995-2998.

Binder, Arlene. 2001. Supplemental Report in Favor of Raw Milk compiled by Dr. William Campbell Douglas, Jr. M.D. and Aajonus Vonderplanitz, Scientist.

Blaser et al. Serologic study of two clusters of infection due to *Campylobacter jejuni*, *J Infect Dis.* 1983, May. 147(5):820-823.

Brewer, S. 1994. Fats and Fatty Acids. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Cooperative Extension Service. Online at <http://www.agen.ufl.edu/~foodsafil083.html>

Broom, D.M. 2001. Effects of dairy cattle breeding and production methods on animal welfare. paper reprinted from 21st World Buiatrics Congress, Sociedad de Medicina Veterinaria del Uruguay, Montevideo, Uruguay. Online at <http://www.nal.usda.gov/awic/pubs/dairy/effects.htm>.

Boyd, Hines. Raw Milk: How Risky Is It? Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services. 2004. Online at <http://www.sciencenews.org/articles/20051029/food.asp>

Boyd, Hines. Dairy Calcium Aids Weight Loss: New Research. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. Online at <http://www.florida-agriculture.com/foodprograms/calcium.htm>

Carr, T., and J. Driskell. 2002. Nutrient content of ground beef from grass-fed and grain-fed steers. University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

Center for Food Safety, The. <http://www.centerforfoodsafety.org/>

Center for Food Safety and Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Compliance. 2004. Grade "A" pasteurized milk ordinance (2003 revision). Appendix O: Vitamin fortification of fluid milk products. Rockville, MD: Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Online at <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~ear/pmo03o.html>.

Chilliard, U., A. Ferlay, and M. Doreau. 2001. Effect of different types of forages, animal fat or marine oils in cow's diet on milk fat secretion and composition, especially conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Livestock Production Science* 70(1-2):31-38.

Chin, S.F., W. Liu, J.M. Storkson, Y.L. Ha, and M.W. Pariza. 1992. Dietary sources of conjugated dienoic isomers of linoleic acid, a newly recognized class of anticarcinogens. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 5:185-197.

Clancy, Kate. 2006. How grass-fed beef and milk contribute to healthy eating. Greener Pastures. Union of Concerned Scientists, UCS Publications, Cambridge, MA. Online at <http://www.ucsusa.org>.

Connor, W.E. 2000. Importance of n-3 fatty acids in health and disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 71(supplement):171S-175S.

Cook, Mark. E. and Li, Guangming. University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI. Inflammation-Fighting Fat. *Science News*, Vol 168, No. 18, 2005. Online at <http://www.sciencenews.org/articles/20051029/food.asp>

Crewe, J.R. MD. January 1929. Milk Cure, The: Real Milk Cures Many Diseases. *Certified Milk Magazine*. Online at <http://www.realmilk.com/milkcure.html>

Dahl-Jorgensen et al. Relationship between cow's milk consumption and incidence of IDDM in childhood, *Diabetes Care*, 1991;14:1081-1083.

Dairy Star. <http://www.dairystar.com/>

Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)-U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2005. Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2005. Online at <http://www.healthierus.gov/dietaryguidelines>.

Descalzo, A.M., E.M. Insani, A. Biolatto, A.M. Sancho, P.T. Garcia, N.A. Pensel, and J.A. Josifovich. 2005. Influence of pasture or grain-based diets supplemented with vitamin E on antioxidant/oxidative balance of Argentine beef. *Meat Science* 70:35-44.

Dhiman, T.R., G.R. Anand, L.D. Satter, and M.W. Pariza. 1999. Conjugated linoleic acid content of milk from cows fed different diets. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 82:2146-2156.

Dhiman, T.R., C.S. Poulson, A.L. Ure, and D. Cornforth. 2005. Feed forages enhance CLA, vitamin E content in beef. *Feedstuffs*, September 19, 14-16.

Dhiman, Tilak R., Nam, Seung-Hee, Ure, Amy L. 2005. Factors Affecting Conjugated Linoleic Acid Content in Milk and Meat. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 45:463-482.

Douglass, William Campbell II, MD. *The Milk Book-The Milk of Human Kindness Is Not Pasteurized*. Rhino Press. <http://www.rhinopublish.com>

Eat Wild Grass-fed Food and Facts - Jo Robinson. www.eatwild.com

Elliott et al. Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus and cow milk: casien variant consumption, *Diabetologia*, 1999; 42:292-296.

Fallon, Sally and Enig, Mary. *Nourishing Traditions*. 2000. New Trends. <http://www.newtrendspublishing.com>

Fallon, Sally and Enig, Mary. Website for Information (including sources of real milk), www.realmilk.com

FDA Hearings: Risks and Benefits of Raw Milk (Washington, DB.: U.S. Govt Printing Office, 1985), p. 209.

Frank LC, Clark FA, Haskell WH, Miller MM, Moss FJ, Thomas RC. Do children who drink raw milk thrive better than children who drink heated milk? *Public Health Reports*, 1932;47(39):1951-1960.

French, P., C. Stanton, F. Lawless, E.G. O’Riordan, F.J. Monahan, P.J. Caffrey, and A.P. Moloney. 2000. Fatty acid composition, including conjugated linoleic acid, of intramuscular fat from steers offered grazed grass, grass silage, or concentrate-based diets. *Journal of Animal Science* 78:2849-2855.

Fritsche, J., and H. Steinhart. 1998a. Amounts of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in German foods and evaluation of daily intake. *Zeitschrift für Lebensmitteluntersuchung und Forschung A* 206(2):77-82.

Fritsche, J., and H. Steinhart. 1998b. Analysis, occurrence and physiological properties of trans fatty acids (TFA) with particular emphasis on conjugated linoleic acid isomers (CLA)-a review. *Fetts Lipid* 100:190-210.

German, J.B., and C.J. Dillard. 2004. Saturated fats: What dietary intake? *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 80:550-559.

Gladwell, Malcom. 2000. *Tipping Point: How Little Things Can Make A Big Difference*. Little, Brown and Company.

Griinari, J.M., and D.E. Bauman. 1999. Biosynthesis of conjugated linoleic acid and its incorporation into meat and milk in ruminants. In *Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research*, Volume 1, edited by M.P. Yurawecz, M.M. Mossoba, J.K.G. Kramer, M.W. Pariza, and G.J. Nelson. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press.

Grohman, Joann S. *Keeping a Family Cow*. Coburn Press. <http://www.real-food.com>

Groover, G. 2000. The income side of seasonal vs. year-round pasture-based milk production. Publication No. 404-113. Virginia Cooperative Extension. Online at <http://www.ext.vt.edu/pubs/dairy/404-113/404-113.html>. accessed on November 15, 2005.

Hauswirth, C.B., M.R.L. Scheeder, and J.H. Beer. 2004. High w-3 fatty acid content in alpine cheese. *Circulation* 109:103-107.

Hoard Dairyman. www.hoards.com

In “Unpasteurized Milk: the Hazards of a Health Fetish” in *JAMA*, 1984;252(15):2049, the authors state that raw milk advocates have ‘erroneously’ cited Pottenger as having reported that disease occurred in cats fed pasteurized milk. Potter, it seems, overlooked Pottenger’s study in which he did demonstrate this, given here.

Institute of Medicine (IOM). 1998. *Antimicrobial Resistance: Issues and Options*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Ip C, Banni S, Angioni E, Carta G, McGinley J, Thompson HJ, Barbano D, Bauman D. Conjugated Linoleic Acid-enriched Butter Fat Alters Mammary Gland Morphogenesis and Reduces Cancer Risk in Rats. Department of Experimental Pathology, Roswell Park Cancer Institute. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>

- Johnson, T. 2002. The Economics of Grass-Based Dairying: Livestock Business Guide. March. Online at <http://www.attra.ncat.org/attra-pub/ecodairy.html>.
- Jones DM, Robinson DA, Eldridge J. Serological Studies of Campylobacter Jejuni Infections, J Hyg Camb, 1981. 87:163-170.
- Kastel, Mark Alan. Maintaining the Integrity of Organic Milk. Cornucopia Institute. 2006
Online at http://abstract-concepts.net/cornucopia/OrganicDairyReport/cornucopia_milkintegrity.pdf
- Katz, Sandor Ellix. Wild Fermentation: The Flavor, Nutrition, and Craft of Live-Culture Foods. Chelsea Green Publishing Co. 2003.
<http://www.wildfermentation.com>
- Kaufmann, Klaus. Kefir Rediscovered! Alive Books, PO Box 80055, Burnaby, BC, Canada V5H 3X1.
- Khanal, R.C., T.R. Dhiman, and R.L. Boman. 2003. Influence of turning cows out to pasture on fatty acid composition of milk. Journal of Dairy Science 86(Supplement 1):356 (abstract).
- Kirk, J.H. 2003. Pathogens in Manure. University of California-Davis, School of Veterinary Medicine. Online at <http://www.vetmed.ucdavis.edu/vetext/INF-DA/Pathog-manure.pdf>.
- Kolver, E.S. 2003. Nutritional limitations to increased production on pasture-based systems. The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 62:291-300.
- Kolver, E.S., M.J. DeVeth, J.R. Roche, and A. Chand. 2002. Enhancing ruminal concentrations of conjugated linoleic acid and t-vaccenic acid. Journal of Dairy Science 85(Supplement 1):183 (abstract).
- Korlath JA, MT Osterholm, LA Judy, JC Forfang, RA Robinson. A point-source outbreak of Campylobacteriosis associated with consumption of raw milk. J Infect Dis 1985;152(3):592-595.
- Kris-Etherton, P.M., W.S. Harris, and L.J. Appel. 2003. Omega-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: New recommendations from the American Heart Association. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology 23:151-152.
- Larsson, S.C., L. Bergkvist, and A. Wolk. 2005. High-fat dairy food and conjugated linoleic acid intakes in relation to colorectal cancer incidence in the Swedish Mammography Cohort. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 82:894-900.
- Lawless, F., J.J. Murphy, D. Harrington, R. Devery, and C. Stanton. 1998. Elevation of conjugated cis-10, trans-11-octadecadienoic acid in bovine milk because of dietary supplementation. Journal of Dairy Science 81:3259-3267.
- Lin, H., T.D. Boylston, J.J. Change, O.O. Luedecke, and T.D. Shultz. 1995. Survey of the conjugated linoleic acid contents of dairy products. Journal of Dairy Science 78:2358-2365.
- Loon, Dirk van. The Family Cow. Storey Books. <http://www.storey.com>
- Lynch, J.M., A.L. Lock, D.A. Dwyer, R. Norbaksh, D.M. Barbano, and D.E. Bauman. 2005. Flavor and stability of pasteurized milk with elevated levels of conjugated linoleic acid and vaccenic acid. Journal of Dairy Science 88:489-498.
- Macfadden, Bernarr. The Miracle of Milk (New York: Cosmotarian Science Institute, 1946), Applewood Books.
- MacLean, C.H., S.J. Newberry, W.A. Mojica, A. Issa, P. Khanna, Y.W. Lim, S.C. Morton, M. Suttorp, W. Tu, L.G. Hilton, R.H. Garland, S.B. Traina, and P.G. Shekelle. 2005. Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acids on Cancer. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment 113. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Online at <http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/epcsums/o3cansum.htm>.
- Martz, F.A., M. Weiss, R. Kallenbach, C. Lorenzen, and M. Hendrickson. 2004. Conjugated Linoleic Acid Content of Pasture Finished Beef and implications for Human Diets. University of Missouri-Columbia. Online at <http://www.farmprofitability.org/research/beef/linoleic.htm>.
- Malosse D, H. Perron, A. Sasco, JM Seigneurin. Correlation between milk and dairy product consumption and multiple sclerosis prevalence: a worldwide study, Neuroepidemiology 1992;11:304-312.
- Maynard, Leigh J. 2005. Value-Added Pros and Cons: Can Producers Profit from High-CLA Milk and Dairy Products?. Advances in Dairy Technology. University of Kentucky. Vol. 17.

Milk Production Costs and Returns Per Hundred Weight Sold. Economics Research Service/USDA Website: www.ers.usda.gov

Milkweed, The. <http://www.themilkweed.com/>

McAfee, Mark. The Wisconsin Campylobacter Outbreak Falsely Blamed on Raw Milk Safety of Raw Milk. http://www.realmilk.com/pr_071402.html

McCann, S.E., C. Ip, M.M. Ip, M.K. McGuire, P. Muti, S. Edge, M. Trevisan, and J.L. Freudenheim. 2004. Dietary intake of conjugated linoleic acids and risk of premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer, western New York exposures and breast cancer study (WEB study). *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers, and Prevention* 13:1480-1484.

Mir, P.S., M. Ivan, M.L. He, B. Pink, E. Okine, L. Goonewardene, T.A. McAllister, R. Weselake, and Z. Mir. 2003. Dietary manipulation to increase conjugated linoleic acids and other desirable fatty acids in beef. A review. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 83:673-685.

Mir, P.S., T.A. McAllister, S. Scott, J. Aalhus, V. Baron, D. McCartney, E. Charmley, L. Goonewardene, J. Basarab, E. Okine, R.J. Weselake, and Z. Mir. 2004. Conjugated linoleic acid-enriched beef production. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 79(supplement):1207S-1211S.

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. January 03, 1997. Centers for Disease Control. 45(51):1137-1142. <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00045023.htm>

National Organic Standards Board (NOSB). 2005. NOSB livestock committee recommendation for guidance pasture requirements for the national organic program. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Online at <http://www.ams.usda.gov/nosb/FinalRecommendations/Feb05/PastureGuidance.pdf>.

National Research Council (NRC), Board on Agriculture and Natural Resources, Committee on Animal Nutrition, Subcommittee on Dairy Cattle Nutrition. 2001. *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. Seventh Revised Edition, 2001*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Nebraska Department of Agriculture. <http://www.agr.state.ne.us/division/daf/dairy.htm>

New Farm, The. www.newfarm.org

NIH Publication No. 03-4082, Facts about the DASH Eating Plan, United States Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Karanja NM et al. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association (JADA)* 8:S19-27, 1999. http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/public/heart/hbp/dash/new_dash.pdf

Norat, T., and E. Riboli. 2003. Dairy products and colorectal cancer. A review of possible mechanisms and epidemiological evidence. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 57(1):1-17.

Northeast Organic Dairy Producers Alliance - Organic Dairy Farming and Organic Milk

On the Safety of Raw Milk (with a word about pasteurization). <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~ear/milksafe.html>

Organic Pastures Dairy Company. www.organicpastures.com

Pariza, M.W., Y. Park, and M.E. Cook. 2001. The biologically active isomers of conjugated linoleic acid. *Progress in Lipid Research* 40(4):283-298.

Pariza, M.W., Y. Park, and M.E. Cook. 2000. Mechanisms of action of conjugated linoleic acid: Evidence and speculation. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* 223:8-13.

Partnership for Food Safety Education. <http://www.foodsafety.gov/~dms/vlmedavi/tsld015.htm>

Pirog, R. 2004. Consumer Perceptions of Pasture-raised Beef and Dairy Products: An Internet Consumer Study. Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture and the Iowa State University Business Analysis Laboratory. Online at <http://www.leopold.iastate.edu/pubs/staff/pasture/pasture.htm>.

Plank, Nina. *Real Food What to Eat and Why*. Bloomsbury.

Pollen, Michael, *The Omnivore's Dilemma, A Natural History of Four Meals*. 2006. The Penguin Press

Ponnampalam, E.N., N.J. Mann, S.J. Blakeley, Y.L. Yep, and A.J. Sinclair. No date. Feeding systems affect functional lipid components (omega-3 fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid) and trans fatty acids in Australian beef primal cuts and potential impact on human health. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. Forthcoming.

Porter, Dr. Charles. *Milk Diet as a Remedy for Chronic Disease*. 2005. God's Whey, LLC.

Pottenger, Francis M, Jr. M.D., *Pottenger's Cats* (Price Pottenger Nutrition Foundation, 1983), p. 15.

Potter et al. Unpasteurized Milk: The Hazards of a Health Fetish, *JAMA*, 1984;252(15):2048-2052.

Production in the Northeastern United States. <http://www.nodpa.com>

Raw Milk is Good For You. http://lilipoh.com/article_issue06b.html

Ritzenthaler, K.L., M.K. McGuire, R. Falen, T.D. Shultz, N. Dasgupta, and M.A. McGuire. 2001. Estimation of conjugated linoleic acid intake by written dietary assessment methodologies underestimates actual intake evaluated by food duplicate methodology. *Journal of Nutrition* 131:1548-1554.

Robinson DA, Jones DM. Milk-borne *Campylobacter* infection, *Br Med J*, 1981;282:1374-1376.

Robinson, Jo. *Pasture Perfect*. Vashon Island Press. <http://www.eatwild.com>

Robinson, Jo. *Why Grassfed is Best!* 2000. Vashon Island Press

Roche, H.M., E. Noone, A. Nugent, and M.J. Gibney. 2001. Conjugated linoleic acid: A novel therapeutic nutrient. *Nutrition Research Reviews* 14:173-187.

Salmonella Dublin Associated with Raw Milk - Washington State, *MMWR*, 1981;30:373-374.

Schachter, H.M., K. Kourad, Z. Merali, A. Lumb, K. Tran, M. Miguelez, G. Lewin, M. Sampson, N. Barrowman, H. Senechal, C. McGahern, L. Zhang, A. Morrison, J. Shlik, Y. Pan, E.C. Lowcock, I. Gaboury, J. Bradwejn, and A. Duffy. 2005. Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acids on Mental Health. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment Number 116. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Online at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=htatla.chapter.89824>.

Schmid, Ron, ND. *The Untold Story of Milk*. New Trends. <http://www.drrons.com>.

Schmid, Ron, ND. *Traditional Foods are Your Best Medicine*. Healing Arts Press. <http://www.innertraditions.com>

Schmid, Ron. Health Benefits of Raw Milk from Grass Fed Animals. <http://www.realmilk.com/healthbenefits.html>

Schroeder, G.F., J.E. Delahoy, I. Vidaurreta, F. Bargo, G.A. Gagliostro, and L.D. Muller. 2003. Milk fatty acid composition of cows fed a total mixed ration or pasture plus concentrates replacing corn with fat. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86:3237-3248.

Scollan, N.D., M. Enser, R.I. Richardson, and J.D. Wood. 2003. Optimizing the fatty acid composition of beef muscle. Online at <http://www.bsas.org.uk/downloads/ann/proc/pdf2003/040.pdf>.

Scott, Dr. Ernest and Professor Lowell Erf. Ohio State University. Rat studies comparing the effects of a diet on whole raw milk with one of whole pasteurized milk. *Jersey Bulletin*. 1931. 50:210-211; 224-226, 237.

Scott, FW. Cow milk and insulin-dependent diabetes; Is there a relationship? *Am J Clin Nutr* 1990;51:489-491.

Shantha, N.C., A.D. Crum, and E.A. Decker. 1994. Evaluation of conjugated linoleic acid concentration in cooked beef. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 42(8):1757-1760.

Shaver, R.D. 2001. Use of forages and high-fiber byproducts to formulate diets for fiber requirements of high producing dairy cows. Department of Dairy Science, University of Wisconsin. Online at <http://www.wisc.edu/dyscil/uwex/nutritn/pubs/digalfiber702.pdf>.

Shelquist, K. 2002. Pasture Raised Products Message and Strategy: Consumer Focus Group Study. Food Routes Network/Midwest Collaborators. Online at http://www.leopold.iastate.edu/research/grants/files/2002-MSP1_pasture.pdf.

Simopoulos, A.P. 1999. Essential fatty acids in health and chronic disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 70(supplement):560S-569S.

Simopoulos, A.P., M.D., Jo Robinson. *The Omega Diet*. 1999. Harper Collins Publishing, New York, NY.

The Small Farm Resource. 2005. Forages for dairy cattle: Characteristics of a good dairy ration. Online at <http://www.farminfo.org/dairy/forage-m.htm>, accessed on October 12, 2005.

Sonon, Jr., R.N., D.C. Beitz, A.H. Trenkle, J.R. Russell, and R. Rosmann. 2004. Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) concentrations in beef tissue from cattle finished on pasture initially with limited grain. *Journal of Animal Science* 82(Supplement 1, Abstract 230).

Stockdale, C.R., G.P. Walker, W.J. Wales, D.E. Dalley, A. Birkett, Z. Shen, and P.T. Doyle. 2003. Influence of pasture and concentrates in the diet of grazing dairy cows on the fatty acid composition of milk. *Journal of Dairy Research* 70:267-276.

Stockman Grass Farmer. <http://stockmangrassfarmer.net/>

Summary of Raw Milk Statutes and Administrative Codes. December 1, 2004. <http://www.realmilk.com/milk-laws-1.html>

Terpstra, A.H.M. 2004. Effect of conjugated linoleic acid on body composition and plasma lipids in humans: An overview of the literature. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 79:352-361.

Tricon, S., G.C. Burdge, S. Kew, T. Banerjee, J.R. Russell, E.L. Jones, R.F. Grimble, C.M. Williams, P. Yaqoog, and P.C. Calder. 2004. Opposing effects of cis-9, trans-11 and trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid on blood lipids in healthy humans. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 80:614-620.

US Department of Agriculture. <http://www.fsis.usda.gov/OA/topics/gb.htm>

US Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service. <http://www.fsis.usda.gov>

Virtanen et al. Cow's milk consumption, HLA-DQB1 Genotype, and Type 1 Diabetes, *Diabetes*, 2000 June; 49:912-917.

Vos, E., and S.C. Cunnane. 2003. Letter to the editor: Alpha-linolenic acid, linoleic acid, coronary artery disease, and overall mortality. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 77(2):521-522.

Wahle, K.W.J., S.D. Heys, and D. Rotondo. 2004. Conjugated linoleic acids: Are they beneficial or detrimental to health? *Progress in Lipid Research* 43:553-587.

Walker, G.P., F.R. Dunshea, and P.T. Doyle. 2004. Effects of nutrition and management on the production and composition of milk fat and protein: A review. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 55(10):1009-1028.

Wang, C., Chung, A. Lichtenstein, E. Balk, B. Kupelnick, D. DeVine, A. Lawrence, and J. Lau. 2004. Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acids on Cardiovascular Disease. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment 94. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). March. Online at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=hstat1a.chapter.38290>.

Weggemans, R.M., M. Rudrum, and E.A. Trautwein. 2004. Intake of ruminant versus industrial trans fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease-what is the evidence? *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology* 106:390-397.

Weiss, L.A., E. Barrett-Connor, and D. Von Muhlen. 2005. Ratio of n-6 to n-3 fatty acids and bone mineral density in older adults: The Rancho Bernardo Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 81:934-938.

Werner SB, Humphrey GI, Kamei I. Association between raw milk and human *Salmonella dublin* infection. *Brit Med J*. 1979;2:238-241.

Weston A. Price Foundation, The. www.realmilk.com

White, S.L., J.A. Bertrand, M.R. Wade, S.P. Washburn, J.T. Green, Jr., and T.C. Jenkins. 2001. Comparison of fatty acid content of milk from Jersey and Holstein cows consuming pasture or a total mixed ration. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84:2295-2301.

Wijesundera, C., Z. Shen, W.J. Wales, and D.E. Dalley. 2003. Effect of cereal grain and fiber supplements on the fatty acid composition of milk fat of grazing dairy cows in early lactation. *Journal of Dairy Research* 70:257-265.

Willett, W., M.J. Stampfer, J.E. Manson, G.A. Colditz, F.E. Speizer, B.A. Rosner, L.A. Sampson, and C.H. Hennekens. 1993. Intake of trans fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease among women. *Lancet* 341 (8845):581-585.

Winsten, J.R., and B.T. Petrucci. 2003. Building a seasonal grass-based dairy: A case study of the development costs at Cove Mt. Farm. Online at <http://grassfarmer.com/cmfcmfdev.html>, accessed on July 27, 2005.

Wise Traditions. Journal of the Weston A. Price Foundation, PMB 106-380, 4200 Wisconsin Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20016. <http://www.WestonAPrice.org> or phone 202-333-HEAL.

Journal References

Br J Nutr 2006 Mar; 95(3):603-8

Diabetes 2000 Jun;49(6):912-7

J Pediatr Rio J 2006 Mar-Apr;82(2):87-96

Rev Alerg Mex 2001 Sept-Oct;48(5):141-4

Acta Paediatr 2000 Oct;89(10):1174-80

Acta Otolaryngol 1999;119(8):867-73

Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2002 Dec;89(6 Suppl 1):33-7

J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001 Nov;108(5):720-5

West J Nurs Res 1996 Dec;18(6):643-54

Pediatr Pulmonol Suppl 1995; 11:59-60

Lancet 2002 Feb 16; 359(9306):623-4